

Q⁰

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

D ST
~~ORE~~ UNITED STATES ~~COURT~~

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Was the Government required and did they plead and prove any evidence from which a jury co

425 U.S. 501, 503 (1976); see also *Cool v. United Stat*

- 1. The Government was required to plead and prove beyond a reasonable doubt, yet entered no evidence that the Defendant had specific knowledge of the facts that violated 26 U.S.C. § 7206; likewise the G**

(citations omitted). Thus, a specific intent crime "normally does not necessitate proof that the defen

must prove that the defendant a

In this

that injunction. No evidence exists to the contrary.

Defendant was not put on trial for selling “corporation soles”, or violating the injunction order, but rather was charged with advancing a legal t

¹ Clearly the demonstrative aids would have been better served up on the electronic

With no explanation as to why the IRS thinks it is wrong

This case involved the 10th Circuit repudiating

In the House Conference Report involving the 1995 PRA, No. 104-99 [page 36] at 248, the House and Senate agreed that:

“the Senate bill contains a provision which changes the Act’s current “public protection” provision by requiring a collection of information subject to the Act display a notice that a person is not required to respond to the collection of information unless it d

Thus